UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,673	02/23/2004	Conceicao Minetti	00518-105025US3	3568
65989 KING & SPAL	7590 04/09/201 DING		EXAMINER	
	OF THE AMERICAS		DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-4003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2012	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomailnyc@kslaw.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/785,673	MINETTI ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	S. DEVI, Ph.D	1645			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>S. DEVI (USPTO)</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Attorney Kenneth Sonnenfeld</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 29 & 30 March 2012.					
Type:	☐ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes [ If Yes, brief description: <i>Applicants' claims filed 06/30/</i>	□ No. <u>′0</u> .				
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Other (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail					
Claim(s) discussed: All examined claims.					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)  The allowable subject matter and the acceptable claim language were discussed. The need to issue an Examiner's					
amendment was conveyed. Attorney Sonnenfeld was requested that he call back the Examiner of record by noon on					
02 April 2012 with regard to the Examiner's amendment.					
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.					
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.					
Attachment	00.00.0040				
/S. DEVI, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645	03-30-2012				

Application No.

Applicant(s)